T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T

BTE# 14009-66

4 October 1966

KENDRAMEN

SUBJECT: Cortain Political Aspects of OKCART Project

I. General

1. In general, we believe that most governments would not prove receptive to US proposals that they cooperate in a program of Greart flights — particularly since we assume that they would be told, or in any case suspect, that such flights would be targetted against the USSR. In the case of certain close US allies, those in the governments who are meet concerned with intelligence and military strategy would no doubt press their political superiors to cooperate; and some of the latter might sympathize with the objectives of the effort. However, except as noted below, we believe that the political chiefs would prefer that their countries not be involved and, in effect, would hope that the US would either decide not to conduct the program, or would make arrangements for carrying it out which did not include them. Certain governments might be somewhat more receptive to

T-O-P S-E-C-H-E-T

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatidoungrading and declassification



2-0-7 8-3-C-R-5-T

xxxx 44009-66

ments then they would be if eaked to purmit staging or recovery on their territories. However, almost none would be enthusiastic about any form of association with the program, and actual agreement would depend on cases, as discussed below.

2. Some friendly governments would strongly question the need or desirability of these flights in principle -- having in mind the heightened fast-West tensions resulting from the U-2 crisis of 1960, and the subsequent public undertakings by President Risenhower and President Esnaedy that overflights of the UBSR would not be resumed. Any government which the US approached would reflect upon the strong Soviet reactions to the U-2 overflight of May 1960. These governments would remember the extraordinarily harsh tone of Khrushchev's remonstrances to all of the states with which the Soviets knew that the US had arrangements in support of U-2 operations. They would probably estimate that the Soviet reactions would be even harsher this time. They would also take account of the commonly known fact that the US is using satellite reconnaissance -- which would seem to them to do the Job with few of the risks.

- 2 -

T-O-P S-E-C-E-E-T

T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T

BYING 44000-66

3. Beyond these considerations, there is a widespread belief — much more evident and pervasive than in the '50s or early '50s — that the danger of the Soviets initiating war in small; in the minds of many governments, including those in HATO, this belief not only argues against the urgency of increasing intelligence coverage on the USER, but also fortifies their inclination not to risk reviving greater East-West tensions — especially since they are already worried over the effects of Vistnam on US-Soviet relations. Some friendly governments would feel more strongly on these matters than would others, and there are of course important differences between them in terms of their own freedom of action (e.g., in terms of political accountability in case sausthing went wrong or the matter became public, the importance and orientation of public opinion, numbers of people to be consulted, and the like).

II. Staging and Assovery

4. We believe that the <u>UK</u> and <u>Swedan</u> -- or for that matter any of the governments of Western Europe -- would for political reasons reject a US proposal to stage or recover Occurt on their

- 3 -

E-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T CXCART

2-0-P 8-E-C-R-L-7

BTE# 44009-66

depends appearance to a stitude about the use of the Chisava base.

(Although Kadens is a UI base, we assume that the Japaness would in practice learn of its use for these purposes, whether or not they were consulted in advance.) All these states would have to cope with political accountability and possible reparausaious in terms of public opinion which would make them unwilling for desertic reasons alone; and all of them are anxious to avoid any worsening of last-Most tensions generally, or of their own respective relations with the UNIX.

5. Turkey, and especially Mailined represent somehau different cases, in that they are more directly dependent on US support and more susceptible to US influence in matters of this sort. But recent trends in Eurkey have been in the direction of greater resistance to granting special rights to the US, and

25X1

The

copposition parties in Turkey have been pressing the government fairly hard in these respects in the last two years or so, and these pressures would make the government very sensitive about undertaking any new arrangements with the US. In addition, the

- h -

T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T

MIN 4400 - 66

friendlier Soviet posture toward Turkey which has been evident					
for the last two years or so would add to Turkey's reluctance.					
On belance, we conclude that Turkey would almost certainly not	25X1				
grant staging or recovery rights.					

- 5 -

T-O-P S-E-C-H-E-T

25X1

T-O-P S-E-C-B-E-T CECAET	MER# 44009-66

III. Aerial Refueling and Transit Rights

9. Generally speaking, most friendly governments would also be reluctant to enter into agreements for transit rights or even

= 6 =

7-0-P S-H-C-il-B-T OKCART

T-G-P S-E-C-R-E-T OYCANY

MEN# 14009-66

would doubt that the program was worth the risk, and would in any case want the UB to make arrangements not involving them. But obviously arrangements for refueling or transit permission would be easier for some governments to contemplate, since they involve little or nothing that is visible and tangible on the ground, and less would have to be said or acknowledged about the purpose of the flights.

to cooperate even in these limited ways. We believe that both India and Pakistan would find a proposal for social refueling or even overflights unaccoptable both for demestic reasons and because 25×1 they have every incentive to avoid trouble in their relations with

they have every incentive to avoid trouble in their relations with the HESR.

Avoidance of antagonism with the Soviet Union

is important to the Pakistanis and a central tenet of Indian

- 7 -

T-O-P S-3-C-3-2-T

T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T OXCART

BYE# 44009-66

foreign policy. In aeither case do we believe that any gold progue offer from the US would serve to change their minds.

11. Certain other states might prove more willing to enter
into refueling rights arrangements or (more particularly) to 25X1
countemnace overflights of their territories. In all cases, however,
we believe that actual agreement would require some price. Chances

FOR THE BOARD OF MATIONAL ESTIMATES:

SMESSAN SING

* 🐧 •

T-O-P 3-E-C-R-E-T OKCART

CONTROL NO. BYE 44 009 66 REFERRED TO RECEIVED RELEASED SEEN BY OFFICE SIGNATURE DATE TIME DATE TIME NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL DATE		r Release 2006/11	0P S	EC.	RET	9 67A	001000060002-3	//////
	·		CONT	ROL	NO	B4	F 44 009 66 #5	
OFFICE SIGNATURE DATE TIME DATE TIME NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL DATE	REFERRED TO	RECEIVED			RELEASED		SEEN BY	
	OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE

REFERRED TO	RECEIVED		RELEASED	SEEN BY
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE TIME	DATE TIME	NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL DATE
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			
]		
•				

Handle Via Indicated Controls

BYEMAN

		be restricted to specific project	•
•••••	••••••	•••••	***************************************

WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U. S. Code Title 18, Sections 793 and 794. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to BYEMAN Control System.

TOP SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic